THE CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS OF ASPARTAME

Devora Sara Gelbfish

Abstract

Aspartame, one of the most common artificial sweeteners, is used as a food additive worldwide. Because of early experimentation with rats linking aspartame to higher risk of cancer, there is much concern regarding the safety of aspartame. However, analytical review and numerous subsequent studies have disproven previous experimentation and reaffirmed that aspartame consumption in humans does not increase the risk of cancers. At the current time there is no credible evidence to support the idea that aspartame is carcinogenic. The evidence confirms that at current levels of consumption aspartame is a safe alternative to sucrose.

Introduction

In recent years artificial sweeteners have become more and more popular as consumers continue to seek alternatives to regular table sugar that offer sweetness without calories. Because artificial sweeteners contain virtually no calories, they can be very effective in aiding weight control. Additionally, artificial sweeteners like aspartame are useful to diabetics because they are not carbohydrates, and therefore, do not raise blood sugar. Instead, aspartame is broken down into its constituent amino acids and incorporated into normal metabolism without impacting blood sugar levels (Renwick 1986).

However, the safety of artificial sweetener consumption has been debated for years due to early studies linking them to incidents of cancer. Despite the fact that these studies were later overturned, concern about the long-term deleterious effects of artificial sweeteners remains strong.

On the one hand, excess sugar consumption is unhealthy. The prevalence of obesity and diabetes is rising at alarming rates, leading to numerous health problems. On the other, with the increase in consumption of artificial sweeteners, are we putting ourselves at risk for cancer? Unfortunately, so many studies have been conducted only later to be overturned, leading to much confusion in the area of artificial sweeteners. Many consumers avoid artificial sweeteners because they believe that the "chemicals" are hazardous to their health or because they have read headlines linking aspartame to cancer. However, are those claims backed by scientific data? Is it all just publicity and hysteria, or is aspartame truly carcinogenic?

History of Aspartame

Aspartame is formally known as L- α -aspartyl-L-phenylalanine methyl ester. (Figure 1) Commonly known by the brand names Equal and NutraSweet, aspartame was accidentally discovered in 1965 by a scientist who was working on the synthesis of a gastrointestinal secretory hormone inhibitor. While working in the lab, some solution was accidentally spilled and splashed on his hand. Soon afterwards, against all good safety practices, he licked his finger to pick up a piece of paper and was shocked by the intense sweetness of the aspartame that had been splattered there (Magnuson et al. 2007).

Figure 1. Structure of aspartame $(L-\alpha-aspartyl-L-phenylalanine methyl ester)$. (Magnuson et al. 2007)

Aspartame was originally proposed for approval in the United States in 1974, but it was not until 1981 that it was approved for dry products. Finally, in 1983 it was further approved for use in drinks and subsequently all foods (European Food Safety Authority 2006). Then, in 1996, the safety of aspartame was questioned, once again, due to a report suggesting that aspartame consumption was responsible for an increase in brain tumors between 1975 and 1992. However, later studies and further analysis showed that the data did not establish a definite link between cancer development and aspartame consumption (National Cancer Institute 2009).

Trailing only saccharin, aspartame is the second most used artificial sweetener in the world. It is found in over 6000 kinds of products worldwide, among them soft drinks, chewing gum and candies. It has been used as a popular food additive for more than thirty years due to its intense sweetness which is about two hundred times the sweetness of sucrose. In the United States alone aspartame consumption is estimated at about 8000 tons per year. But, in the words of Soffritti et al. (2006), "[Aspartame's] ever-growing use...has been accompanied by rising consumer concerns regarding its safety, in particular its potential long-term carcinogenic effects."

The acceptable daily intake of aspartame is 40 mg/kg of body weight in Europe and 50 mg/kg body weight in the United States. Pharmacokinetic data in humans indicates that even when the full acceptable daily intake is taken at once, the aspartame is digested fast enough so that systemic exposure to aspartame never occurs. Moreover, it is important to note that current use levels, even by high users, remain well below the established acceptable daily intake levels. If all sucrose in the typical American diet was replaced with aspartame, the proposed estimated consumption would fall between twenty-two and thirty-four mg/kg of body weight per day. In more commonly relatable measurements, these amounts are equivalent to about fifty-seven packets of sweetener, or ten cans of diet soda, per day. In actuality, the most current data available shows that, on average, aspartame intake is 4.9mg/kg body weight per person per day (Stegink et al. 1981).

In rodents, pigs, nonhuman primates, and humans alike, aspartame is metabolized into aspartic acid, phenylalanine, and methanol in the gastrointestinal tract. Post-ingestion, the aspartame is hydrolyzed, resulting in the breakdown products listed above. After being absorbed into systemic circulation, the broken down compounds follow the metabolic pathways as they would if ingested through other foods. Aspartate and phenylalanine are used as amino acidic building blocks or transformed into alanine plus oxaloacetate and tyrosine, respectively, and partially into phenylethylamine and phenylpyruvate. The methanol is oxidized to become formaldehyde and then formic acid (Soffritti et al. 2006).

According to the European Food Safety Authority, "At doses relevant to human consumption hydrolysis is very efficient." All available evidence indicates that aspartame does not enter the bloodstream until after it is hydrolyzed. Consequently, it is important to bear in mind that studies in which aspartame was administered through injection, (thereby avoiding digestion,) "are not representative of oral administration, which is how aspartame is always consumed by humans" (Stegink

1987). Any cancers that resulted from systemic exposure to aspartame are not relevant to humans, since when ingested orally, aspartame is always broken down before entering systemic circulation.

Overview and Analysis of Original Studies Performed

From the time of its introduction by Searle Laboratories, aspartame has been surrounded by controversy. With the assistance of various scientists, Searle conducted hundreds of tests, summarized in Table 1 below, to ascertain the safety of aspartame as a food additive. Among the experimental works were studies conducted on rats, mice, hamsters, dogs, and monkeys. At the time, many felt that some important data was not reported to the Food and Drug Administration when Searle applied for the approval of aspartame. Ultimately, however, further analysis proved that none of the studies found evidence linking aspartame consumption to cancer.

In a 1996 report by Olney et al., the authors suggested that aspartame might be a cause of the increase in brain cancer in humans. From a descriptive analysis of national cancer data, they noted that the introduction of aspartame in food in the early 1980's corresponded to the rise in brain cancer in the United States. They recommended that the safety of aspartame as a sugar substitute be reevaluated.

Consequently, Gurney et al. performed a case-control study to assess the relationship of aspartame consumption and the risk of childhood brain tumors. They held in-person interviews with the biological mothers of their fifty-six case patients and ninety-four control subjects, collecting data on aspartame consumption prior to the date of diagnosis or reference date. The children were all born during or after 1981, corresponding to the Food and Drug Administration's approval of aspartame. In addition, for forty-nine case patients and ninety control subjects, the authors evaluated the risk of brain tumor as a result of the mother's aspartame consumption during pregnancy and breast feeding.

As a result of their studies the authors found that "case children were no more likely than control children to consume foods containing aspartame," and that "there was no suggestion of a dose-response relation based on age at first consumption, number of years of consumption, or frequency of consumption." Additionally, they found no correlation between maternal consumption of aspartame during pregnancy or breast feeding and increased risk of brain tumors (Gurney et al. 1997).

This study is informative; however, due to a number of weaknesses, it is not a strong enough proof to rule out the possibility that aspartame is linked to elevated risk of brain tumors. Firstly, much of the data was amassed through in-person interviews with the mothers of the case patients and control subjects, leaving lots of room for error as a result of biases. Aside from the

fact that no one has perfect recall, people also tend to lie or exaggerate information. Also, it is very possible that the interviewers asked leading questions and that the interviewees skewed the information in an attempt to provide what they thought the interviewers wanted to hear. Furthermore, the study sample was very small and may not have been an accurate representation of the full population. Finally, studies of children are naturally limited because one cannot study the effect of the possibly carcinogenic agent over time. Therefore, even if one were to accept the results of the study, the possibility that the children who were exposed to aspartame consumption would have increased brain tumor risk as adults cannot be ruled out.

Results				,		CINOTIC OTAL TOATERY STRATES WITH USPATIATION WITH CINCTUPAL PER CONTROL PAR C				
Test species N Dose (g/kg/day) Duration Endpoints I Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 6-8 1.2.4, and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 9 sections and 60/sex/control 6-8 1.2.4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated with 8 coronal ascitions and 8 coronal sections 5 sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Tumor incidence, with 6 coronal sections 6 showed and 6 coronal sections 6 coronal corona					Treatment			Results		Conclusion(s) and statistical significance
Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 6-8 1,2,4, and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 6-8 1,2,4, and 2 yr Sections 2	Author	Test species	Z	Dose (g/kg/day)	Duration	Endpoints	Dose g/kg	Male	Female	(pvalue)
Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control b-8 sections Ras, Charles River (CD), 40/sex/dose ASP = 2, 4 In utero, Brain inmors, evaluated with 8 coronal sections Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 5 yr Tumor incidence, with 72/sex/control ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 6 yr Brain tumors, evaluated formal tumors, evaluated of a shove ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Tumor incidence, with 6 control/sex ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 5 coronal sections of added to CE-7 added 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 coronal sections of added to CE-7 added 10 Strain tumors, evaluated 6 coronal sections and 2 sections of the strain tumors and 2 sections (evaluated 5 coronal sections). SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Broad Strain tumors, evaluated 6 some and 2 sections and 2 se	Searle, E33/34 (Trutter and	Rats, Charles River (CD),	40/sex/dose	ASP = 1, 2, 4, and	2 yr		0	0/58	0/59	p > .05 No evidence of tumors
Reevaluation of tumors from E33/34 - Brain tumors, evaluated with 8 coronal sections of lactation, and 8 coronal sections of lactation, and 8 coronal sections of lactation, and 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 72/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 6 for an above studies of sections) SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Fumor incidence, with from above studies of sections of blacker and sections of tissues diet added to CE-7 above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 slices of brain, under dissecting powdered basal and 2 sections instolegically study added to CE-7 above Reevaluation of tissues from Islail (1981) study detected basal and 2 yr Body weight, food, 8/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 8/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 8/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 8/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 8/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, stand powdered basal deet to CE-7 Body weight, food, stand powdered basal deet CE-7 Body weight, food, stand powdered basal deet CE-7 Body weight, food, stand powdered basal parameters, heart, spleen, pinitary, shorts and powdered basal parameters, heart, spleen, pinitary and powdered basal parameters, heart, spleen, pinitary and powdered basal parameters, heart and powdered basal parameters, heart and powdered basal parameters, heart and powdered basal parameters heart and powdered basal parame	Reno, 1973; Trutter and	Sprague-Dawley	60/sex/ control	2		sections	7	0/3	0/40	due to compound.
Recvaluation of tumors of from E33/34 - Brain 1 tumors, evaluated 2 with 8 crownal 4 sections 1 sections 1 Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 5 Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 72/sex/control 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 control/sex ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors evaluated 6 coronal sections 6 control/sex ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors contained 5 coronal sections). As above As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 collecte of brain and 2 sections) istudy. SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control 6 c CE7 8 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control	Keno, 2006)						4	0/1	1/4	Conclusion
Recyaluation of humors of turnors, evaluated with 8 coronal sections syndycoround of Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/coarrol Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/coarrol Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/coarrol 2yr Brain turnors, evaluated of 2yr CD-1 mice 36/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2yr Trumor incidence, with focus on bladder and focus on bladder and packer and 2yr Brain turnors evaluated 3 sections, a ASP-BKP (3:1) = 6 slices of brain, which weight food, a above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain turnors evaluated 5 soronal sections and 2 sections							8-9	1/40	3/39	challenged, data
Reevaluation of fumors of from E33/44-Brain fumors, evaluated with 8 coronal sections of sections and sections of fumors candlated a sections of secti										re-evaluated (see
Retail Charles River (CD), 40/sex/dose ASP = 2,4 In utero, Brain tumors, evaluated with 8 coronal sections 2 yr Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 60/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 86/sex/dose ASP = 1,2,4 and 60/sec/control 86/sex/dose ASP = 1,2,4 and 60/sec/sor 60/sec/dose ASP = 1,2,4 and 60/sec/sor 60/sec/						Description of tumore		1/50	0/26	n > 05
Rats, Charles River (CD), 40/sex/dose ASP = 2, 4 In utero, Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 5prague-Dawley 60/sex/control 60/sex/control 2yr CD-1 mice 36/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 2 yr Tumor incidence, with 72/sex/control 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 added to CE-7 magnifying glass, diet above ASP = 1, 2, 4 added to CE-7 magnifying glass, from shove control/sex ASP = 1, 2, 4 added to CE-7 magnifying glass, diet above ASP = 1, 2, 4 added to CE-7 magnifying glass, strong added to CE-7 magnifying glass, diet added to CE-7 produced basal and 2 sections histologically study added to CE-7 produced basal and 2 sections histologically study added to CE-7 produced basal and 2 sections histologically study added to CE-7 produced basal powdered basal powdered basal added to CE-7 produced cE-7 produced cE-7 produced cE-7 produced cE-7 produced basal added to CE-7 pr	Searle, E87: Reevaluation					from F33/34 - Brain	-	2/36	2/40	No evidence of tumors
Rats, Charles River (CD), 40/sex/dose ASP = 2,4 In utero, Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 60/sex/control 60/sex/control 60/sex/control 60/sex/dose ASP = 1,2,4 Zyr Tumor incidence, with 72/sex/control ASP = 1,2,4 and 6 Fin tumors, evaluated 6 control/sex ASP = 1,2,4 and 6 Fin tumors, evaluated 5 control sections). SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1,2,4 and 6 Silves of brain, and 2 sections, diet above ASP = 1,2,4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 silves of brain, and 2 sections, diet and 2 sections histologically study added to CE-7 magnifying glass, diet As above ASP = 1,2,4 and As above Reevaluation of itssues from Isini (1981) study 86/sex/dose ASP = 1,2,4 and 7 yr Body weight, food, added to CE-7 biochemical powdered basal diet powdered basal	of tissues from E33/34					tumors, evaluated	2	1/40	0/40	due to compound
Rats, Charles River (CD), 40/sex/dose ASP = 2,4 In utero, Brain tumors, evaluated C Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 2yr Reevaluation of tumors from above studies 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 86/sex/dose ASP = 1,2,4 and 2yr Tumor incidence, with from above studies corntol/sex ASP-1,2,4 and 2yr Tumor incidence, with from some bladder and prain tumors (evaluated 5 cornal sections). As above As above ASP = 1,2,4 and 2yr Tumor incidence, with from some bladder and prain tumors (evaluated 5 cornal sections). As above As above ASP-1,2,4 and 2yr Brain tumors evaluated 6 silices of brain, and 2 sections and 2 sections and 2 sections. As above As above ASP = 1,2,4 and 2yr Brain tumors sections and 2 sections and 3 sections and 3 sections and 4 added to CE-7 powdered basal from thiti (1981) study 4 added to CE-7 powdered basal and 2 variation of tissues and 2 sections and 2 sections and 3 sections and 2 sections and 3 sections and 2 sections and 3 sections and 4 added to CE-7 biotechnical powdered basal deed to CE-7 biotechnical powdered basal diet prainters, heart, spheen, pituliary, added to CE-7 biotechnical diet powdered basal diet powdered basal diet powdered basal diet prainters, heart, spheen, pituliary, added to CE-7 biotechnical diet powdered basal diet prainters, heart, spheen, pituliary, added to CE-7 biotechnical diet powdered basal diet prainters, heart, spheen, pituliary, added to CE-7 biotechnical diet prainters, heart, spheen, pituliary, added and prainters, heart, diet and prainters, heart and pra						with 8 coronal	4	4/40	1/40	
Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 2 yr Tumor incidence, with 72/sex/control ASP = 1, 2, 4 and focus on bladder and form above studies 6 control/sex ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors evaluated 5 coronal sections). As above As above As Pabove ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors evaluated 6 socious from this indicating glass, and 2 sections histologically study above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, water, urine analysis Blood CBC and powdered basal diet CE-7 biochemical powdered basal diet CE-7 biochemical powdered basal diet CE-7 biochemical powdered basal diet parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, addent in the treis and ovar in the rest and ovar in t						sections	8-9	0/39	2/38	
Sprague-Dawley 60/sex/control 2yr CD-1 mice 36/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 2 yr Tumor incidence, with 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 5 yr SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr 6 from above studies or bladded rand brain tumors evaluated 6 cornal sections). As above As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr 6 from issues diet diet diet and 2 sections and 2 sections diet above ASP-DKP (3:1) = 1 and 2 sections histologically study added to CE-7 and 2 yr 8 from 1 shui (1981) study shower added to CE-7 biochemical powdered basal added to CE-7 biochemical powdered basal diet parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, added in liver, fidney, revits and vower in the stirs		(CD) active District	40/cay/doca	$\Delta SD = 2.4$	In utero	Brain tumors, evaluated	0	3/58	1/57	p > 05
Reevaluation of tumors from above studies CD-1 mice 36/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Pain tumors (evaluated 5 coronal sections), added to CE-7 and 2 yr Brain tumors (evaluated 5 coronal sections), and 2 sections) and 2 sections diet diet above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors (evaluated 5 coronal sections), and 2 sections diet diet diet 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors (evaluated 5 coronal sections) and 2 sections histologically and 2 sections histologically study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, ASP-DKP (3:1) = 86/sex/control ASP-DKP (3:1) = 86	Searle, E/0 (Trutter and Reno 1973: Trutter and	Sprague-Dawley	60/sex/control		lactation, and	8 coronal sections	2	2/36	1/39	No evidence of tumors
CD-1 mice 36/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 72/sex/control 72/sex/sex/control 72/sex/sex/sex/sex/sex/sex/sex/sex/sex/sex	Reno, 2006)				2 yr					due to compound.
Reevaluation of tumors from above studies CD-1 mice 36/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 72/sex/control SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 5 yr Brain tumors (evaluated 5 coronal 8 control/sex ASP-DKP (3:1) = 4 added to CE-7 magnifying glass, diet magnifying glass, diet As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated basal diet and 2 sections histologically As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated basal and 2 sections histologically As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors evaluated basal and 2 sections histologically study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 4 added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal parameters, heart, apleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, adrenal iver, kidney, and covary in testis and covary in testis and covary in							4	1/40	1/40	data reevaluated (see
CD-1 mice 36/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 2 yr Tumor incidence, with 72/sex/control 72/sex/control 72/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 5 yr Tumor incidence, with 6 countrol/sex ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors (evaluated 5 coronal sections), 4 added to CE-7 magnifying glass, powdered basal diet As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 circles of brain, and 2 sections diet and 2 sections histologically and 2 sections histologically study above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, added to CE-7 and biochemical parameters, heart, and added to CE-7 and biochemical parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adrential liver, kdirey, addential liver, kdirey, and over liver liver, and over liver liver, and over liver liver, and over liver liver, and over liver liv										llext low)
corries, SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Tumor incidence, with focus on bladder and prain tumors (evaluated 5 coronal sections), 86 control/sex ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 sections, 86 control/sex ASP:DKP (3:1) = 0	Searle, E87: Reevaluation of E70 (McConnell,					Reevaluation of tumors from above studies	0 ,	ame results as	above	p > .05No evidence of tumors due to compound
se, E/3 CD-1 mice 72/sex/control 86/sex/dose ASP=1,2,4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 slices of brain, and 2 sections 6 slices of brain, and 2 sections diet and 2 sections 6 slices of brain, and 2 sections diet and 2 sections 6 slices of brain, and 2 sections 6 slices 6 sli	1973)		(H	A C 1 - 42 A	7,17	Tumor incidence with	_	17/65	21/66	<i>p</i> > .05
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 5 coronal sections, and 2 sections where the sections of the sevaluation of tissues from Isini (1981) study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 6 slices of brain, and 2 sections diet and 2 sections histologically and 2 sections histologically study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control ASP-I, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, added to CE-7 biochemical powdered basal powdered basal diet spleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, addrenity liver, kidney, kidney, kidney, kidney, kid	Searle Laboratories, E/5	20-1 III G	72/sex/control	1,2,1	i,	focus on bladder and	_	4/32	8/31	No evidence of tumors
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 5 coronal sections), 8 6 control/sex ASP:DKP (3:1) = 6 slices of brain, 4 added to CE-7 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated basel diet 8 6 control/sex ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated basel and 2 sections diet 8 added to CE-7 and 2 yr Brain (1981) 8 blood weight, food, 8 6/sex/control ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 8 8 6/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = 8 blood CBC and powdered basel powdered basel parameters, heart, addentical parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, addrent; liver, kidney, testis and covary in testis and covary in	(Scalle Laboratories,					brain tumors	2	6/35	7/31	due to compound.
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Brain tumors, evaluated 86 control/sex ASP-DKP (3:1) = 6 slices of brain, a 4 added to CE-7 magnifying glass, diet magnifying glass, diet As above As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 sections histologically study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control ASP-DKP (3:1) = 8 blood CBC and powdered basal parameters, heart, added to CE-7 biochemical parameters, heart, diet spleen, pilmiary, adrenii liver, kidney, testis and towary in testis and towary in	(+/61					(evaluated 5 coronal	4	8/27	12/31	NOEL = 4 g/kg/day
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr 6 stitus of the producted based added to CE-7 As above As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr 6 stitus of the sections diet and 2 sections and 2 sections histologically study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, added to CE-7 biochemical parameters, heart, diet spleen, pituitary, adrenti liver, kidney, testis and towary in					,	sections),		1 E ottoricol os	rocytoma	50 / 4
As above As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 As above Reevaluation of tissues from Ishii (1981) SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/control ASP. = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, added to CE-7 bowdered basal parameters, heart, addressit, and towary in testis and overy in testis and over its and its	Ishii et al. (1981)	SLC Wistar rats	86/sex/dose	ASP = 1, 2, 4 and ASP-DKP (3.1) –	2 yr	6 slices of brain.	>	ı r atypicai as	nocytoma	No evidence of tumors
As above As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 As above Reevaluation of tissues from Ishii (1981) study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 4 added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal diet advent, when the parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, testis and ovary in testis and ovary in			80 control/sex	A added to CE-7		under dissecting	1 ASP	1 M oligodend	roglioma	due to compounds.
As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 As above Reevaluation of tissues from Ishii (1981) study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/control ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal diet spermaneters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adremal, liver, kidney, testis and ovary in testis and ovary in				nowdered hasal		magnifying glass.	2 ASP	1 F ependymo	na	NOEL = 4 g/kg/day
As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 As above Reevaluation of tissues from Ishii (1981) study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 4 added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal parameters, heart, affect, printiary, adrenii liver, kidney, address liver, kidney, kid				diet		and 2 sections		1 F astrocytom	a	Data reevaluated by
As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 As above Reevaluation of tissues from Ishii (1981) study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 4 added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal parameters, heart, affect, printiary, adrenal, liver, kidney, address liver, kidney, and ovary in testis and ovary in						histologically	4 ASP	1 M astrocytor	na 	other pathologists
As above ASP = 1, 2, 4 As above Reevaluation of tissues from Ishii (1981) study SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, urine analysis 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = Blood CBC and powdered basal parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, adrenal, liver, kidney, pressits and ovary in particulary.								1 F oligodendr (P	oglioma	(see next row)
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, urine analysis 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, urine analysis 900 CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, and ovary in testis and ovary in			Acchore	ASP - 1 2 4	As above	Reevaluation of tissues		1 F malignant	mengioma	Similar results as
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, urine analysis 4 added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, addrent, ifver, kdirey, addrent, ifver, kdirey, testis and ovary in	An-Pyo Center (2006)	As above	As above	ASI - 1, 2, 1	a com out	from Ishii (1981)))	initially reported.
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, urine analysis 4 added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal parameters, heart, after the diet added to CE-7 blookemical parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, factory in testis and ovary in						study	_	1 M glioma		No evidence of
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, urine analysis 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = Blood CBC and blood to CBC and bloo							2	1 F malignant	reticulosis; 1F,	tumors due to
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1.2.4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) water, urine analysis 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) Body weight, food, 4 added to CE-7 Bodoc CBC and powdered basal powdered basal parameters, heart, gleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, adrenal, liver, kidney, parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney,								glioma		compound. NOEL =
SLC Wistar rats 86/sex/dose ASP = 1, 2, 4 and 2 yr Body weight, food, 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, unite analysis 86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, unite analysis 4 added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal powdered CBC and diet powdered basal parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, adrenal, liver, kidney, interfix diey, kidney, interfix diey,							4	1 male, glioma		
86/sex/control ASP:DKP (3:1) = water, urine analysis 4 added to CE-7 Blood CBC and powdered basal biochemical diet parameters, heart, spleen, pituitary, adrenal, iter, kidney, testis and overy in	Ishii et al. (1981)	SLC Wistar rats	86/sex/dose	ASP = 1, 2, 4 and	2 yr	Body weight, food,	No data tal	les. Dose-depe	ndent depression	ž
dered basal biochemical parameters, heart, spleen, piuitary, addrend, liver, kidney, testis and ovaev in testis and ovaev in			86/sex/control	ASP:DKP (3:1) =		water, urine analysis	of body	veight gain and	Tood	NOAFL = 4
order of a second control				4 added to CE-7		blood CBC and	Collsum	uoli. Aiso ilicie	Ascu utiliary	ø/kø/dav
spleen, pituitary, adrenal, liver, kidney, teetis and ovarv in				powdered basal		narameters, heart.	relative	pleen weight, f	ocal	
adrenal, liver, kidney, restits and ovary in				nici		spleen, pituitary,	minerali	zation in renal p	elvis	
testis and ovary in						adrenal, liver, kidney,				
ICSUS ATIO OVAL)						testis and ovary in				

				Treatment		Results	Conclusion(s) and statistical
Author	Test species	Z	Dose (g/kg/day)	Duration	Endpoints	Dose g/kg Male Female	signincance (pvalue)
E72, Bryan (1984a, 1984b)	E72, Bryan (1984a, 1984b) Female Swiss albino mice	100/group	Bladder pellet ¹ with 0, 4.0 mg ASP, 4.0 mg DKP, or 4.0 mg XAE	26 weeks	Urinary bladder tumorigenicity with intravesical pellet implants	No statistically significant increase in any tumor type in treated groups as compared with control	Lack of increased tumors in positive control XAE group suggested insufficient
E72, Bryan (1984a, 1984b)	E72, Bryan (1984a, 1984b) Female Swiss albino mice 200/group	200/group	Bladder pellet with 0, 56 weeks 4.0 mg ASP, 4.0 mg DKP, or 4.0 mg	56 weeks	Urinary bladder tumorigenicity with intravesical pellet	Significant increase in bladder tumors in XAE-treated mice, but not in ASP or DKP treated mice	study duration ASP and DKP do not promote bladder tumors
Soffriti et al. (2005, 2006) Sprague-Dawley rais.	Sprague-Dawley rats.	150/sex/dose for 0, 4, 20, and 100 mg/kg bw. 100/sex/dose for 500, 2500 and 5000 mg/kg bw	AAE ASP = 0,0004,0.02, Lifetime until 0.1,0.5,2.5,5 death	Lifetime until death	implants Tumorigenicity when added to the diet at levels of 0, 80, 400, 2000, 10,000, 50,000 and 100,000 ppm	Increased in combined lymphoma/leukernia in females, renal carcinomas, malignant schwannomas of peripheral nerves	Authors conclude ASP has "multipotential carcinogenic effects". See discussion for conclusions by
Searle, E77/78 (1974)	Rats, Charles River (CD), Sprague-Dawley	36/sex/dose 72 control /sex	DKP = 0, 0.75, 1.5, 3.0	115 week	Brain tumors	0 (M+F) 2/123 (1.6)	expert reviews. No evidence of tumors due to compound. NOAEL for DKP =
Searle, E27 (1972a)	Hamsters	5/sex/dose	ASP = 1, 2, 4, 12	46 weeks	Neoplastic lesions	Lesions attributed to unidentified infection in colony. No tumors reported	3 g/kg/day No evidence of tumors due to compound; infection
Searle, E28 (1972b)	Dogs (purebred Beagles)	5/sex/dose	ASP = 0, 1, 2, 4	2 years	Physical exams every 4 weeks, periodic urine analysis, blood CBC and biochemical parameters	No treatment related changes in body weight, food consumption, physical or clinical parameters, or postmortem examination	compromised study No evidence of toxicity. NOAEL for ASP = 4 g/kg/day
Promotional studies Ito et al. (1983)	Male F344 rats pretreated for 4 weeks with or without 0.01% BBN in water	Control group = 60, aspartame group = 36	0 or 5% ASP in diet. ASP was one of 16 test chemicals	36 weeks	Urinary bladder pathology	No difference between groups in incidence or severity of urinary bladder papillary or nodular hyperplasia	ASP does not promote urinary bladder papillary or nodular
Hagiwara et al. (1984)	Male F344 rats pretreated for 4 weeks with or without 0.01% BBN in water	25-30/group	0 or 5% ASP, stevioside, or saccharin in diet	32 weeks	Body weight, food, water, urine analysis, liver, kidney, and urinary bladder lesions	No abnormalities in rats treated with aspartame, stevioside or saccharin alone.	hyperplasia Saccharin, but not ASP, promoted bladder carcinogenesis NOEL = 5 g/kg/day

Table 1: Chronic Oral Toxicity Studies with aspartame and diketopiperazine (Magnuson et. al 2007)

Aspartame Consumption in Relation to Childhood Brain Tumor Risk: Results from a Case-Control Study

Nevertheless, there is one last important point that the authors did make. Given the fact that the peak rise in brain tumors and the introduction of aspartame occurred almost simultaneously, without the expected

period of latency, "it appears unlikely that any carcinogenic effect of aspartame ingestion could have accounted for the recent brain tumor trends as Olney et al. contend" (Gurney et al. 1997)

Aspartame Induces Lymphomas and Leukemias in Rats

In 2005 and 2006 Soffritti et al. of the European Ramazzini Foundation published a set of alarming study results. In fact, much of the concern regarding the safety of aspartame was generated by the initial findings of their research. In their study, the authors administered aspartame to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats with their feed. The rats, which were eight weeks old at the start of the experiment, were treated with aspartame containing feed until spontaneous death. The groups of 100-150/sex were given concentrations of 100,000; 50,000; 10,000; 2,000; 400; 80; and 0 ppm to simulate assumed daily intake by humans of 5,000; 2,500; 500; 100; 20; 4; and 0 mg/kg of body weight.

The study continued for 151 weeks until the death of the last rat at 159 weeks. Upon their deaths, the animals underwent complete necropsy and examination. The authors reported the following differences observed between the treated groups and the untreated control.

- 1. "An increase in malignant tumor-bearing animals with a significant positive trend in males...and in female...and a statistically significant difference in females treated at 50,000 ppm...,compared to controls;
- 2. An increased incidence of hyperplasia of the olfactory epithelium with a significant positive trend in males and females...;
- 3. An increase in the incidence of...carcinomas of the renal pelvis and ureter were observed in females...:
- 4. A dose-related increased incidence in malignant schwannomas of peripheral nerves was observed, with a significant positive trend in males..., while in females, nine malignancies were observed among treated animals of the different dosage groups and none among controls...;
- 5. A dose-related increased incidence in lymphomas-leukemias was observed, with a significant positive trend in males...and females. When compared to controls, a statistically significant difference was observed in females treated at doses of [400 ppm and above]..."

Finally, they also reported sparse brain malignancies observed in the treated groups, (among males and females,) whereas none were found in the control groups.

They concluded that, for the first time, they had demonstrated a dose-related, statistically significant increase in lymphomas and leukemias in females as a result of aspartame intake. Furthermore, they felt that these results were noted at levels close to those to which humans can be exposed. "Since the results of carcinogenicity bioassays in rodents, mainly rats and mice, have been shown to be a consistent predictor of human cancer risk," they closed their work by calling for an "urgent re-examination of permissible exposure levels of aspartame in both food and beverages" (Soffritti et al. 2006).

Evaluation of the European Ramazzini Foundation Study

Immediately following the publication of the disquieting carcinogenicity study carried out by the European Ramazzini Foundation, many scientists and researchers began to assess their reported results. As stated previously, the European Ramazzini Foundation "considered that the results of their study indicate that aspartame is a 'multipotential carcinogenic agent,'" leading to much concern. As a result, many specialists set out to attempt to either verify or discount their findings.

For example, after extensive evaluation, the European Food Safety Authority Panel concluded that the studies by the European Ramazzini Foundation contained "too many methodological flaws to be

taken into consideration when determining the carcinogenic potential of aspartame" and that the Panel had "no reason to revise the previously established acceptable daily intake for aspartame (European Food Safety Authority 2006). Below are a number of flaws which invalidate the findings of the European Ramazzini Foundation study.

Firstly, there was a high background incidence of chronic inflammatory disease among the colony of rats used (European Food Safety Authority 2006). This condition was not mentioned in the study. However, the fact that the colony was already suffering from chronic respiratory disease is a very plausible explanation of the lymphomas and leukemias that developed. This information, along with the lack of a positive dose-response relationship, makes it unlikely that the increased lymphomas and leukemias were related to aspartame.

Additionally, concerning the lesions of the renal pelvis, ureter, and bladder, although they were likely treatment related, the same results cannot be expected in humans due to differences between rat and human metabolism. Due to differences in urinary protein levels, rats are much more susceptible to these tumors than humans are when exposed to high doses of chemical irritants (Cohen 1995). According to the European Food Safety Authority panel, "It is widely accepted that the effect is a high dose effect of irritant chemicals or chemicals producing renal pelvic calcification as a result of imbalances in calcium metabolism, specific to the rat." The Panel did not consider these effects to be relevant to humans in any way.

Furthermore, the authors reported statistics for "total malignant tumors." However, aggregating all of the incidences of malignant tumors for statistical purposes was not justified given that the lymphomas, leukemias, and renal tumors should have been excluded, as explained above.

With regard to the malignant schwannomas, the number of tumors were low. Also, despite the fact that the dose-response relationship showed a positive trend in males, it was very flat over a wide range. The European Food Safety Authority panel felt that there was also general uncertainty as to the diagnoses of these tumors and that further histopathological peer-review of the relevant nervous system slides was necessary for complete evaluation.

Finally, actual human consumption of aspartame is far less than the concentrations at which the treated rats exhibited differences from the control group. Some might be tempted to say that carcinogenicity at high doses shows that there is carcinogenicity at low doses as well, but that it is at a lower rate, referred to as dose extrapolation. However, it is incorrect to automatically assume that this is so (Cohen 1995).

As a result of the numerous flaws present in multiple areas of the study findings, the results of the European Ramazzini Foundation study are considered invalid. Further studies were necessary to ascertain the safety of aspartame.

Consumption of Aspartame-Containing Beverages and Incidence of Hematopoietic and Brain Malignancies

In 2006, following multiple animal experiments which attempted to link aspartame to hematopoietic (pertaining to blood cell formation) and brain cancers, most importantly the seemingly positive linkage found in the European Ramazzini Foundation studies, a group of scientists set out to investigate the risks in humans. They "investigated the association between self-reported consumption of aspartame-containing beverages and incident hematopoietic and brain cancers."

The authors mailed out 3.5 million questionnaires to AARP members who were between the ages of fifty and seventy-one years old. Information about daily aspartame intake was obtained from these self-administered food frequency questionnaires. Of the 617,119 that were returned, 567,169 were satisfactorily completed. Excluded from the study were 52,887 persons with history of cancer, one

withdrawal, a number of duplicates, and people who had died. As a result, 473,984 questionnaires were considered, (285,079 men and 188,905 women).

During a follow up of more than five years, 1,888 hematopoietic cancers and 315 malignant gliomas (brain cancer) were discovered. These findings were largely comparable to overall rates of hematopoietic cancers and gliomas within the age range, for both the male and female subgroups. Moreover, the findings were not in any way linked to aspartame intake, nor was there any correlation between higher levels of aspartame intake and increased cancer risk.

As a result of their study, the authors concluded that their findings do not support the hypothesis that aspartame increases the risk of hematopoietic or brain cancer, and that it was in direct contradiction with the study conducted by the European Ramazzini Foundation. Furthermore, the authors noted the fact that the European Food Safety Authority dismissed the findings of the European Ramazzini Foundation due to the high background of chronic inflammatory conditions in the rat colony used, the lack of a dose-response, and other issues, as mentioned previously (Lim et al. 2006).

The study of Lim et al. has a number of strengths. First and foremost, the large sample size provided for more accurate results. Additionally, the fact that the dietary and lifestyle data was collected before the patients were diagnosed with cancer minimized the biases normally found due to differential reporting between cases and controls. (This is unlike the study by Gurney et al., for example, in which the data was collected from the mothers after their children had been diagnosed.) Furthermore, the food frequency questionnaire used was developed by the National Institute of Health in conjunction with the AARP through extensive cognitive testing.

(All the same, it is important to bear in mind that any information obtained through self-reporting by study members is bound to involve at least a small amount of bias.)

Conclusion

After thorough review of all of the scientific data available with regard to aspartame, there is no evidence that aspartame, as consumed by humans, is carcinogenic. Nevertheless, despite the lack of scientific evidence, many people feel that aspartame is "dangerous" and "causes cancer." Popular media often refers to aspartame with phrases like "deadly sweet" or "the sweet poison." Headlines like "Aspartame linked to cancer" are quickly believed and difficult to overturn in the minds of the public.

For example, following the European Ramazzini Foundation study in 2005, a branch of Harvard hospital promoted research which analyzed hospital records of tens of thousands of men and women. A report publicized by the hospital concluded that "those who drink a daily diet soda sweetened with aspartame could have an increased risk of leukemia, lymphoma, or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma." However, in actuality, the risk was prevalent among drinkers of mostly sugared soda, as well. The lead author of the study was asked whether the research proved that aspartame is dangerous, and she emphatically replied, "No, it does not" (Bazell 2012).

Subsequently, the hospital apologized and admitted that the science it promoted was weak. However, the damage was already done. In the words of Dr. Steven Nissen, chair of the Cleveland Clinic's cardiovascular medicine department, "Promoting a study that its own authors agree is not definite, not conclusive, and not useful for the public is not in the best interests of public health." Unfortunately, much of the commonly believed information about aspartame is exactly that, inconclusive data that was only intended to lead to further studying (Bazell 2012).

Despite the lack of evidence proving the carcinogenicity of aspartame, wouldn't it be better to stick to sucrose, which is considered "natural," and avoid the chemically produced aspartame? No. With the ever-growing obesity and obesity-related conditions, sucrose itself is like a toxin. Obesity related conditions are now the number one leading cause of preventable death in the United States. More than

one third of adults in the United States are obese, resulting in overwhelming numbers of conditions like heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes, and even obesity-related cancers (statistics based on review by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2011).

Whereas the carcinogenic effects of aspartame in humans are doubtful, the deleterious effects of obesity are unambiguous and very alarming. So, where it's a question of the diet soda or a glass of water, no one would recommend the soda. However, when it's between a cup of juice and an aspartame-sweetened beverage, it is not so clear-cut. In essence it comes down to the question of which "poison" is worse.

References

- Bazell, Robert. November 5, 2012. Harvard Hospital Admits it Promoted Weak Science on Aspartame. NBC

 News. http://vitals.nbcnews.com/_news/2012/10/24/14674053-harvard-hospital-admits-it-promoted-we ak-science-on-aspartame.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2012. Adult Obesity Facts. http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html.
- Cohen SM. Human Relevance of Animal Carcinogenicity Studies. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology. 1995(21):75-80.
- European Food Safety Authority. 2006. Evaluation of the New Study of Aspartame Carried Out by the European Ramazzini Foundation. http://www.efsa.europa.eu/.
- Gurney JG, Pogoda JM, Holly EA, Hecht SS, Preston-Martin S. 1997. Aspartame Consumption in Relation to Childhood Brain Tumor Risk: Results From a Case-Control Study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 84(14):1072-1074.
- Lim U, Subar AF, Mouw T, Hartge P, Morton LM, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Campbell D, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A. 2006. Consumption of Aspartame-Containing Beverages and Incidence of Hematopoietic and Brain Malignancies. Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention. 15(9):1654-1659.
- Magnuson BA, Williams GM, Burdock GA, Doull J, Kroes RM, Marsh GM, Pariza MW, Spencer PS, Waddell WJ, Walker R. 2007. Aspartame: A Safety Evaluation Based on Current use Levels, Regulations, and Toxicological and Epidemiological Studies. Critical Reviews in Toxicology. 37(8):629-727.
- Mayo Clinic Staff. 2010. Artificial Sweeteners: Understanding These and Other Sugar Substitutes. http://www.mayoclinic.com/.
- National Cancer Institute. Artificial Sweeteners and Cancer. 2009. http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/factsheet/Risk/artificial-sweeteners.
- Olney JW, Farber NB, Spitznagel E, Robins LN. Increasing Brain Tumor Rates: Is There a Link to Aspartame? Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology. 1996(55):1115-1123.
- Renwick AG. The Metabolism of Intense Sweeteners. Xenobiotica. 1986(16):1057-1071.
- Soffritti M, Fiorella B, Espositi DD, Lambertini L. 2005. Aspartame Induces Lymphomas and Leukemias in Rats. European Journal of Oncology. 10(2):107-116.
- Soffritti M, Fiorella B, Espositi DD, Lambertini L. 2006. Results of Long-Term Carcinogenicity Bioassay on Sprague-Dawley Rats Exposed to Aspartame Administered in Feed. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1076(1):559-577.
- Stegink LD. The Aspartame Story: A Model for the Clinical Testing of a Food Additive. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1987(46):204-215.

Stegink LD, Filer LJ Jr., Baker GL. 1981. Plasma and Erythrocyte Concentrations of Free Amino Acids in Adult Humans Administered Abuse Doses of Aspartame. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health. (7):291-305.